arXiv:1210.5155v1 [math.AC] 18 Oct 2012

COMPUTATION OF JEFFREY-KIRWAN RESIDUE USING GRÖBNER BASIS

ZSOLT SZILÁGYI

Abstract. The Jeffrey-Kirwan residue is a powerful tool for computation of intersection numbers or volume of symplectic quotients. In this article, we give an algorithm to compute it using Gröbner bases. Our result is parallel to that of [CD] for Grothendieck residues.

1. Introduction

The Jeffrey-Kirwan residue was introduced in [JKi1] and it is a powerful tool to compute intersection numbers or symplectic volume of symplectic quotients. There are several ways to compute it such as iterated residues, inverse Laplace transforms or nested sets ([JKi2], [JKo], [BV], [SzV], [dCP]).

The aim of this article is to give an algorithm for computation Jeffrey-Kirwan residue using Gröbner basis which can be implemented as a computer program.

The contents of the article are as follows. In Section 2 we quickly review basic notions related to Gröbner bases. In Section 3 we recall an algorithm for computation of Grothendieck residue from [CD] which is similar to ours. In Section 4 we generalize ideas from previous section which will be the core of our algorithm. In Section 5 we recall the definition and properties of Jeffrey-Kirwan residue. In Section 6 we apply the results of Section 2 in the case of Jeffrey-Kirwan residue and we give an algorithm to compute it using Gröbner bases.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to András Szenes and Michèle Vergne for discussions and useful comments. The support of FNS grant 132873 is gratefully acknowledged.

2. Gröbner bases and the division algorithm

In this section we recall some notions and results from the book [CLO] about Gröbner bases and division of multivariate polynomials. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field ($\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) and consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. We use the notation x^a, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ for the monomial $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r}$, as special case $x^0 = 1$.

Definition 1. A *monomial order* " $>$ " on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ is an order on monomials with properties:

(i) it is a total order,
(ii) $x^a > x^b$ implies that $x^{a+c} > x^{b+c}$ for all $c \in \mathbb{N}^r$,

(iii) $x^a > 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}^r \setminus \{0\}$.

Example. The lexicographic order is defined as follows. Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_r)$ in $\mathbb{N}^r$. Then $x^a >_{\text{lex}} x^b$ if in $a - b = (a_1 - b_1, \ldots, a_r - b_r)$ the left-most non-zero entry is positive.

Definition 2. Fix a monomial order and let $f = \sum_{a \in A} c_a x^a$ be a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$. Let x^m be the greatest monomial of the set $\{x^a \mid a \in A\}$ with respect to the fixed monomial order. $\textsc{Lm}(f) = x^m$ is called the *leading monomial* of f. Moreover, we call $\textsc{Lt}(f) = c_m x^m$ the *leading term* of f and $\textsc{Lc}(f) = c_m$ is called the *leading coefficient* of f. Remark that $\textsc{Lt}(f) = \textsc{Lc}(f) \cdot \textsc{Lm}(f)$. If I is an ideal in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ then the *ideal of leading terms* $\textsc{Lt}(I) = \langle \textsc{Lt}(f) \mid f \in I \rangle$ is the ideal generated by the leading terms of all polynomials in I.

Definition 3. A finite subset G of I is called a *Gröbner bases* of I with respect to the chosen monomial order if $\textsc{Lt}(I) = \langle \textsc{Lt}(g) \mid g \in G \rangle$.

It is not unique since any finite subset of I containing a Gröbner bases is also a Gröbner bases. However, for each polynomial ideal with a monomial order there is a unique *reduced Gröbner bases* G of I with properties:

(i) $\textsc{Lc}(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$,
(ii) for all $g \in G$, no monomial of g belongs to $\langle \textsc{Lt}(G \setminus \{g\}) \rangle$.

Gröbner bases of an ideal in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ can be computed by Buchberger's algorithm, see [CLO], §II.7.

Next, we recall the division of multivariate polynomials, see [CLO], §II.3. We fix a monomial order on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ and let $F = \{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$ be an ordered s-tuple of polynomials. Using the following division algorithm any polynomial f can be written as $f = a_1 f_1 + \ldots + a_s f_s + R$ with $a_1, \ldots, a_s, R \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ such that no monomials of R is divisible by any of $\textsc{Lt}(f_1), \ldots, \textsc{Lt}(f_s)$. The polynomial R is called the remainder of the division of f by F. The division algorithm goes as follows. In the beginning we set R to f and $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ to 0. Suppose we have written

$$f = a_1 f_1 + \ldots + a_s f_s + R$$

for some $a_1, \ldots, a_s, R$. Choose the greatest monomial M in R (with respect to the fixed monomial order) such that it is divisible by $\textsc{Lt}(f_i)$ with smallest i. Then set the new a_i to $a_i + \frac{\textsc{Lt}(M)}{\textsc{Lt}(f_i)}$ and the new R to $R - \frac{\textsc{Lt}(M)}{\textsc{Lt}(f_i)} f_i$. The algorithm ends in finite steps since the order of monomials in R which is divisible by any of $\textsc{Lt}(f_1), \ldots, \textsc{Lt}(f_s)$ strictly decrease in each step and monomial orders are also well-orders.

The remainder of the division in general depends on the way the elements of F are listed. Moreover, it is possible that the remainder is non-zero even if $f \in \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle$. An example is given in Example 5 of [CLO], §II.3. Nevertheless, if $F = \{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$ is a Gröbner bases of the ideal $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle$ then the remainder R does not depend on the way the elements of F are listed.

Definition 4. Fix a monomial order. The *normal form* $N_I(f)$ of f with respect to the ideal I is a polynomial such that $f - N_I(f) \in I$ and no monomial of $N_I(f)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Lt}(I)$.

Proposition 5. *If $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a Gröbner bases of the ideal I, then the remainder of the division of f by G is equal to the normal form of f, i.e. $R = N_I(f)$. Moreover, $f \in I$ if and only if $R = 0$ (compare* [CLO], §II.6*, Proposition 1 and Corollary 2).*

Remark. If I is a homogeneous polynomial ideal, then for any monomial order we can choose a Gröbner bases $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ with g_i homogeneous polynomials. Moreover, the remainder of the division of a homogeneous polynomial f by G has is also homogeneous of the same degree as f. Furthermore, $N_I(f)$ is also homogeneous of the same degree as f.

Lemma 6. *Let I be a homogeneous polynomial ideal with a monomial order. Then I and $\mathrm{Lt}(I)$ are isomorphic as graded vectors spaces, i.e. $\dim I_d = \dim \mathrm{Lt}(I)_d$ for all d (more generally,* [CLO], §IX.3*, Proposition 4).*

3. Review of Grothendieck residue case

In this section we review an algorithm for Grothendieck residue as explained in [CD], §1.5.6. Let $H, P_1, \dots, P_r \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be polynomials of degree $d, d_1, \dots, d_r$, respectively.

Definition 7. Suppose that $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$ is the only common zero of $P_1, \dots, P_r$ in an open neighborhood U of it. The *Grothendieck residue* is defined as

$$\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{H}{P_1|\dots|P_r}\right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^r}\int_{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \frac{H(x)}{P_1(x)\cdots P_r(x)}\, \dot{\mathrm{x}}_1 \cdots \dot{\mathrm{x}}_r,$$

where $\Gamma(\varepsilon) = \{x \in U \;\big|\; |P_i(x)| = \varepsilon_i > 0,\ i = 1, \dots, r\}$ and it is oriented by $\dot{(}\arg P_1(x)) \wedge \dots \wedge \dot{(}\arg P_r(x))$.

The Grothendieck residue has the following properties.

(i) It is independent on ε.

(ii) If $P_1, \dots, P_r$ and H are homogeneous, using rescaling $x \mapsto \lambda x$ we can see that $\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{H}{P_1|\dots|P_r}\right) = 0$ if $d \neq \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$.

(iii) (Local duality) If $\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{HG}{P_1|\dots|P_r}\right) = 0$ for all $G \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ then H lies in the ideal $\langle P_1, \dots, P_r\rangle$.

(iv) (Transformation law) Let $Q_1, \dots, Q_r \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ such that 0 is their only common zero locally. If we can write $Q_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} P_j$ then

$$\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{H}{P_1|\dots|P_r}\right) = \mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{H \det\left([a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^r\right)}{Q_1|\dots|Q_r}\right).$$

(v) (Euler-Jacobi vanishing theorem) Let $P_1, \dots, P_r$ such that $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$ is the only common zero. If $d < \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$ then $\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{H}{P_1|\dots|P_r}\right) = 0$.

As noted in [CD] the Grothendieck residue can be computed using normal forms as follows. Suppose that $P_1, \dots, P_r$ are homogeneous with only common zero $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$.

(1) Compute a Gröbner bases of the ideal $I = \langle P_1, \dots, P_r \rangle$.
(2) Compute normal forms $N_I(H)$ of H and $N_I(\Delta)$ of $\Delta = \det\left([a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^r\right)$, where $P_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}x_j$, $(i = 1, \dots, r)$.
(3) $\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{H}{P_1|\dots|P_r}\right) = \frac{N_I(H)}{N_I(\Delta)}$, since by transformation law $\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{\Delta}{P_1|\dots|P_r}\right) = \mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{1}{x_1|\dots|x_r}\right) = 1$.

The non-homogeneous case can be reduced to the homogeneous case as follows. Let $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be polynomials of degree $d_1, \dots, d_r$ such that their only common zero is $0 \in \mathbb{C}^r$. Let

$$P_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r) = x_0^{d_i} \cdot p_i\left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_r}{x_0}\right) \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r]$$

be the homogenization of p_i. Let $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be a degree d polynomial. If $d < \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$ then $\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{h}{p_1|\dots|p_r}\right) = 0$ by Euler-Jacobi theorem. Therefore, suppose that $d \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$ and let

$$H(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r) = x_0^d \cdot h\left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_r}{x_0}\right)$$

be the homogenization of h. Moreover, let $P_0(x_0, x_1 \dots, x_r) = x_0^{d_0}$ with $d_0 = d + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1)$. Then

$$\mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{h}{p_1|\dots|p_r}\right) = \mathrm{Res}_0\left(\frac{H}{P_0|P_1|\dots|P_r}\right)$$

and we can apply the above algorithm to compute it.

4. The main idea

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and denote $\mathbb{K}[x]$ the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. Denote $\mathbb{K}[x]_d$ the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree d. Moreover, let $\lambda : \mathbb{K}[x]_d \to \mathbb{K}$ be a non-zero linear functional. We extend it to the whole polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x]$ by zero. Let $I = \{P \in \mathbb{K}[x] \mid \lambda(P \cdot Q) = 0,\ \forall\, Q \in \mathbb{K}[x]\}$ which is a homogeneous polynomial ideal. Remark that it is enough to check if $\lambda(P \cdot Q) = 0$ only for monomials Q. To show that I is homogeneous, suppose that $P \in I$ and decompose it to homogeneous components $P_n + P_{n-1} + \ldots + P_0$, and for all $Q = Q_m$ homogeneous of degree m we have $\lambda(P \cdot Q) = \lambda(P_{d-m} \cdot Q_m) = 0$. For degree reasons, $\lambda(P_{d-m} \cdot Q_{m'}) = 0$ for all $Q_{m'}$ homogenenous of degree $m' \neq m$. Hence $P_{d-m} \in I$ and all homogeneous components of P are contained in I. In particular, I_d the degree d part of I is equal to the kernel of λ in $\mathbb{K}[x]_d$.

Proposition 8. *Let $\Delta \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ such that $\lambda(\Delta) \neq 0$. Then $N_I(\Delta) \neq 0$ and for all $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ we have*

$$\frac{\lambda(P)}{\lambda(\Delta)} = \frac{N_I(P)}{N_I(\Delta)}.$$

Proof. Since $\lambda(\Delta) \neq 0$, Δ is not contained in I and by Proposition 5, $N_I(\Delta)$ is a non-zero homogeneous polynomial of degree d. Since I_d is one codimensional in $\mathbb{K}[x]_d$, the vector space $\textsc{Lt}(I)_d$ is also one codimensional. Therefore, for all $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ the normal form $N_I(P)$ is a multiple of the same monomial M of degree d which is not contained in $\textsc{Lt}(I)_d$, i.e. $N_I(P) = \textsc{Lt}(N_I(P)) = \textsc{Lc}(N_I(P)) \cdot M$. Finally, for all $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ we have

$$\lambda(P) = \lambda\big(N_I(P)\big) = \textsc{Lc}(N_I(P)) \cdot \lambda\big(\textsc{Lm}(N_I(P))\big) = \textsc{Lc}(N_I(P)) \cdot \lambda\big(M\big),$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda(P)}{\lambda(\Delta)} = \frac{\textsc{Lc}(N_I(P)) \cdot \lambda(M)}{\textsc{Lc}(N_I(\Delta)) \cdot \lambda(M)} = \frac{\textsc{Lc}(N_I(P)) \cdot M}{\textsc{Lc}(N_I(\Delta)) \cdot M} = \frac{N_I(P)}{N_I(\Delta)}.$$

□

If we can compute the ideal I and a non-zero $\lambda(\Delta)$ for some Δ then we can also compute $\lambda(P)$ for all $P \in \mathbb{K}[x]_d$ using the following algorithm:

(1) compute a Gröbner bases G of I,
(2) compute normal forms $N_I(P)$ and $N_I(\Delta)$ as remainders of the division of P and Δ by G,
(3) finally, $\lambda(P) = \lambda(\Delta) \cdot \dfrac{N_I(P)}{N_I(\Delta)} \in \mathbb{K}$.

In the case of Jeffrey-Kirwan residue we have to compute the ideal I and we have to also find a Δ.

5. The Jeffrey-Kirwan residue

We recall the definition and properties of Jeffrey-Kirwan residue following [JKo]. Let V be an r-dimensional real vector space and let $\mathfrak{A} = [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]$ be a collection of (not necessarily distinct) non-zero vectors in V^*. We consider α_i's as linear functions on V. Let Λ be a connected component of $V \backslash \cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^\perp$, where $\alpha_i^\perp = \{v \in V \mid \alpha_i(v) = 0\}$. Remark that for all i, either $\alpha_i \in \Lambda^\vee$ or $-\alpha_i \in \Lambda^\vee$, where $\Lambda^\vee = \{\beta \in V^* \mid \beta(v) > 0,\ \forall v \in \Lambda\}$ is the dual cone of Λ. If $\alpha_i \in \Lambda^\vee$ then we say that it is polarized. Choose $\xi \in \Lambda$ and a bases $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ of V^* such that $x_1(\xi) = 1$, and $x_2(\xi) = \ldots = x_r(\xi) = 0$. Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 x_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_r x_r \in V^*$ and let $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ be a polynomial. We define

$$\operatorname{Res}^+_{x_1}\left(\frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} \operatorname{x\!\!\!\!\cdot}_1\right) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Res}_{x_1=\infty}\left(\dfrac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} \operatorname{x\!\!\!\!\cdot}_1\right) & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 < 0, \end{cases}$$

considering $x_2, \ldots, x_r$ as constants while taking the residue with respect to x_1. Fix a scalar product on V^* and define the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue

$$\operatorname{JKRes}^\Lambda\left(\frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} \operatorname{x\!\!\!\!\cdot}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det[(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n}} \operatorname{Res}^+_{x_r}\left(\ldots\left(\operatorname{Res}^+_{x_1} \frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)} \operatorname{x\!\!\!\!\cdot}_1\right)\ldots \operatorname{x\!\!\!\!\cdot}_r\right).$$

Definition 9. We call an element of V^* regular if it does not lie on any $(r-1)$- or less dimensional subspace of V^* spanned by a subset of $\mathfrak{A}$.

The Jeffrey-Kirwan residue has the following properties.

(1) Suppose that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \Lambda^\vee$ and suppose that ε is regular. Let $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d. Then
$$\mathrm{JKRes}^\Lambda\left(\frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)}\dot{\mathrm{x}}\right) = 0$$
unless all the following properties are satisfied
 (a) $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ span V^* as vector space,
 (b) $d \leq n - r$,
 (c) $\varepsilon \in Cone(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

(2) Suppose that $d \leq n - r$ and P is homogeneous of degree d. Then
$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{JKRes}^\Lambda\left(\frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)}\dot{\mathrm{x}}\right) &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\lim_{t\to 0^+}\mathrm{JKRes}^\Lambda\left(\frac{\varepsilon(x)^k P(x)e^{t\varepsilon(x)}}{k!\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)}\dot{\mathrm{x}}\right)\\ &= \mathrm{JKRes}^\Lambda\left(\frac{\varepsilon(x)^{n-r-d}P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{(n-r-d)!\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)}\dot{\mathrm{x}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

(3) If $d = 0$, $n = r$ and properties $(1)(a) - (c)$ are satisfied then
$$\mathrm{JKRes}^\Lambda\left(\frac{e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(x)}\dot{\mathrm{x}}\right) = \frac{1}{|\det(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)|},$$
where $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ denotes the matrix whose columns are the coordinates of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ with respect to any orthonormal bases of V^*.

Remark. For ε regular the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue does not depend on the choice of ξ in Λ and the corresponding bases $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of V^*.

We turn to a more algebraic approach used in [BV]. Suppose that we have fixed a polarization Λ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are polarized with respect to it. Let $R_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathbb{R}[V]_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the set of rational functions of form $\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{m_i}}$, where $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ and $m_i \geq 0$.

Definition 10. A subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ is called *generating* if $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ span V as vector space. Moreover, a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ is called *ε-generating* if it is generating and $\varepsilon \in Cone(\alpha_i \mid i \in I)$.

We have the following partial fraction decomposition (more generally, Theorem 1 of [BV]).

Proposition 11. *Let $G_{\mathfrak{A}} \subset R_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be spanned as vector space by fractions of form $\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{m_i}}$ such that the set $I = \{i \mid m_i > 0\}$ is generating. Moreover, let $NG_{\mathfrak{A}} \subset R_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be the vector space spanned by fractions of form $\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{m_i}}$ such that the set $I = \{i \mid m_i > 0\}$ is non-generating. We have a direct sum decomposition*
$$R_{\mathfrak{A}} = G_{\mathfrak{A}} \oplus NG_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

Denote $(R_{\mathfrak{A}})_d$ the degree d part of $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $(R_{\mathfrak{A}})_d = (G_{\mathfrak{A}})_d \oplus (NG_{\mathfrak{A}})_d$ and $(G_{\mathfrak{A}})_{-r}$ is spanned by fractions $\frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1}\cdots\alpha_{i_r}}$ such that $\{\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_r}\}$ is a bases of V^*. In general, these fractions are not linearly independent, for example $\frac{1}{x(x+y)} = \frac{1}{xy} - \frac{1}{(x+y)y}$.

Definition 12. Fix a scalar product on V^*. Let $\varepsilon \in V^*$ be regular and consider the linear functional JK_ε on $R_{\mathfrak{A}}$ which vanishes on $R_{\mathfrak{A}} \setminus (G_{\mathfrak{A}})_{-r}$ and on $(G_{\mathfrak{A}})_{-r}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1}\cdots\alpha_{i_r}}\right) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{|\det(\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_r})|} & \text{if } \varepsilon \in Cone(\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_r}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $(\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_r})$ denotes the matrix whose columns are the coordinates of $\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_r}$ with respect to any orthonormal bases of V^*. Moreover, we introduce a shorter notation $\mathrm{JK}^{\mathfrak{A}}_\varepsilon(P) = \mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}\right)$.

Remark.

(1) If $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n - r$ then

$$\mathrm{JKRes}^\Lambda\left(\frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)}\mathrm{x}\right) = \mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}\right)$$

and for other polynomials is related via property (2) of the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue.

(2) In the case of $V^* = \mathbb{R}^r$ with the standard scalar product, $\mathfrak{A} = [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]$ a generating subset of $\mathbb{Z}^r$ and ε regular the Jeffrey-Kirwan residues can be interpreted as intersection numbers on the toric variety $X_{\mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{A})$:

$$\mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{P}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}\right) = \int_{X_{\mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{A})} \chi(P),$$

where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the connected component of regular elements containing ε and $\chi : \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \to H^\bullet(X_{\mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{A}))$ is a degree preserving ring homomorphism ($\deg x_i = 2$) as explained in [SzV], §1 and §2.

6. Computation of Jeffrey-Kirwan residue using Gröbner bases

To apply the result of Section 4 in the case of Jeffrey-Kirwan residue, we have to compute the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon} = \{P \in \mathbb{R}[V] \mid \mathrm{JK}^{\mathfrak{A}}_\varepsilon(P \cdot Q) = 0,\ \forall Q \in \mathbb{R}[V]\}$ and we have to compute a non-zero $\mathrm{JK}^{\mathfrak{A}}_\varepsilon(\Delta)$ for some $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}[V]$. Since $\varepsilon \in Cone(\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n)$, there are $\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_r}$ such that $\varepsilon \in Cone(\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_r})$. By the property (3) of the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue $\mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{i_1}\cdots\alpha_{i_r}}\right) = \frac{1}{|\det(\alpha_{i_1},\ldots,\alpha_{i_r})|} \neq 0$, therefore let $\Delta = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}{\alpha_{i_1}\cdots\alpha_{i_r}}$.

Theorem 13. *We have $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon} = \left\langle \prod_{j\in J} \alpha_j \;\middle|\; \varepsilon \notin Cone(\alpha_j \mid j \notin J)\right\rangle$. More geometrically, the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ is generated by all $\prod_{\alpha_i \in H^+} \alpha_i$ such that H is a hyperplane spanned by some of α_i's and H^+ denotes the open half-space containing ε.*

Proof. Introduce the notation $I'_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon} = \big\langle \prod_{j\in J}\alpha_j \;\big|\; \varepsilon \notin Cone(\alpha_j \mid j\notin J)\big\rangle$. The inclusion $I'_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}\subset I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ is straightforward: if $P=\prod_{j\in J}\alpha_j$ is a generator of $I'_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ then for all Q we have $\mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon\big(\frac{PQ}{\prod_{i=1}^n\alpha_i}\big)=\mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon\big(\frac{Q}{\prod_{j\notin J}\alpha_j}\big)=0$, since $\varepsilon\notin Cone(\alpha_j\mid j\notin J)$.

We show the inclusion $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}\subset I'_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ by induction on n. If $n=r$ then there are two cases:

(1) if $\{1,\dots,n\}$ is not ε-generating then $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}=\mathbb{R}[V]=I'_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$,
(2) if $\{1,\dots,n\}$ is ε-generating, then $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}=\langle\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r\rangle=I'_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$.

Suppose that $n>r$ and $\{1,\dots,n\}$ is ε-generating. Denote $\mathfrak{A}_i:=\mathfrak{A}\setminus\{\alpha_i\}$ and remark that there is an index i such that $\mathfrak{A}_i$ is ε-generating. We can think of $I_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}=\Big\{P\in\mathbb{R}[V]\;\Big|\;\mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon\Big(\frac{P\cdot\alpha_iQ}{\prod_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}}\alpha}\Big)=\mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon\Big(\frac{P\cdot Q}{\prod_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}_i}\alpha}\Big)=0,\ \forall Q\in\mathbb{R}[V]\Big\}$, hence $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}\subset I_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}$ for all $i=1,\dots,n$. By the induction hypothesis

$$I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}\subset\cap_{i=1}^n I_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}=\cap_{i=1}^n I'_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon},$$

where $I'_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}=\big\langle\prod_{j\in J}\alpha_j\;\big|\;i\notin J\text{ and }\{1,\dots,n\}\setminus(\{i\}\cup J)\text{ is not }\varepsilon\text{-generating}\big\rangle$. We will show that

$$I'_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}=\cap_{i=1}^n I'_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}.$$

Define the homomorphism $\pi:\mathbb{R}[y_1,\dots,y_n]\to\mathbb{R}[V]$, $\pi(y_i)=\alpha_i$. Introduce the ideals $L_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}=\big\langle\prod_{j\in J}y_j\;\big|\;\varepsilon\notin Cone(\alpha_j\mid j\notin J)\big\rangle$ and similarly define $L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}$ for all $i=1,\dots,n$. The ideals $L_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ and $L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}$ are square-free monomial ideals (i.e. the generators are square-free monomials) and $\cap_{i=1}^n L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}$ is also square-free monomial ideal. Moreover, $\pi(L_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon})=I'_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ and $\pi(L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon})=I'_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}$. Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$L_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}=\cap_{i=1}^n L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}.$$

Take a square-free generator $\prod_{k\in K}y_k$ of $\cap_{i=1}^n L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}$, $K\subset\{1,\dots,n\}$. Remark that the index set $K\neq\emptyset$ since there is i such that $\mathfrak{A}_i$ is ε-generating. Fix an $m\in K$. Since $\prod_{k\in K}y_k\in L_{\mathfrak{A}_m,\varepsilon}$, there is a subset $J_m\subset\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that $m\notin J_m$ and $\prod_{j\in J_m}y_j\in L_{\mathfrak{A}_m,\varepsilon}$ divides $\prod_{k\in K}y_k$. Hence $J_m\cup\{m\}\subset K$, but the complement of $J_m\cup\{m\}$ in $\{1,\dots,n\}$ is not ε-generating, implying that the complement of K is also not ε-generating, i.e. $\prod_{k\in K}y_k\in L_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$. This shows $\cap_{i=1}^n L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}\subset L_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$.

To show that $L_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}\subset\cap_{i=1}^n L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}$, let $\prod_{j\in J}y_j\in L_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$. Then the complement of J is not ε-generating. Let

$$J_i=\begin{cases}J\setminus\{i\} & i\in J\\ J & i\notin J\end{cases},$$

then $\prod_{j\in J_i}y_j\in L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}$ which divides $\prod_{j\in J}y_j$, hence $\prod_{j\in J}y_j\in L_{\mathfrak{A}_i,\varepsilon}$ for all i.

To prove the second part of the theorem, denote $H_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ the ideal generated by $\prod_{\alpha_i\in H^+}\alpha_i$ such that H is a hyperplane spanned by some of α_i's and H^+ denotes the open half-space containing ε. It is easy to see that $H_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}\subset I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$. To show the reverse inclusion let $\prod_{j\in J}\alpha_j\in I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$, i.e. $J\subset\{1,\dots,n\}$ is a subset such that $\varepsilon\notin Cone(\alpha_j\mid j\notin J)$. We can

suppose that J is minimal, hence the latter convex polyhedral cone is at least $(r-1)$-dimensional. Therefore, it has an $(r-1)$-dimensional face such that the underlying hyperplane H separates ε from this convex polyhedral cone. Moreover, H is spanned by some α_i's and $\{\alpha_j \mid j \notin J\} \subset \{\alpha_j \mid \alpha_j \notin H^+\}$, i.e. $\{\alpha_j \mid \alpha_j \in H^+\} \subset \{\alpha_j \mid j \in J\}$, hence $\prod_{\alpha_j \in H^+} \alpha_j$ divides $\prod_{j\in J} \alpha_j$, therefore $\prod_{j\in J} \alpha_j \in H_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$. □

Remark. The ideal $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ is the image of the Stanley-Reisner ideal I_Σ of a fan Σ under the map $\pi : \mathbb{R}[y_1,\dots,y_n] \to \mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_r]$, $y_i \mapsto \alpha_i$. To construct the fan Σ consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-r} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{R}^r \longrightarrow 0$$

where γ sends the standard basis element e_i to α_i. Its Gale dual

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r} \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\delta^*} \mathbb{R}^{n-r} \longrightarrow 0$$

comes with vectors $\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$ as $\beta_i = \delta^*(e_i)$. The fan Σ is given as follows: for a subset $J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ the $Cone(\beta_j \mid j \in J) \in \Sigma$ if and only if $\varepsilon \in Cone(\alpha_i \mid i \notin J)$. Since ε is regular Σ is a simplicial fan. To the fan Σ we associate the Stanley-Reisner ideal $I_\Sigma = \left\langle \prod_{j\in J} y_j \mid Cone(\beta_j \mid j \in J) \notin \Sigma \right\rangle \subset \mathbb{R}[y_1,\dots,y_n]$.

Example. In the $r = 2$ case $\mathbb{R}\varepsilon$ is a hyperplane of V^* and suppose that vectors $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k$ and $\alpha_{k+1},\dots,\alpha_n$ lie in different components of $V^*\backslash\mathbb{R}\varepsilon$. Then $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon} = \langle \alpha_1\cdots\alpha_k,\ \alpha_{k+1}\cdots\alpha_n\rangle$.

Finally, the Proposition 8 and Theorem 13 with the remark preceding it gives the following proposition about computation of Jeffrey-Kirwan residues.

Proposition 14. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = [\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n]$ be a collection of non-zero vectors in V^*, $\dim V^* = r$. Let Λ be a connected component of $V \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^\perp$, suppose that $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n \in \Lambda^\vee$ and $\varepsilon \in V^*$ is regular. Let $J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ be a subset such that $\{\alpha_j \mid j \in J\}$ is a bases of V^* with $\varepsilon \in Cone(\alpha_j \mid j \in J)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{JKRes}^\Lambda\left(\frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)}x\right) = \mathrm{JK}_\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{A}}(P) = \frac{1}{|\det(\alpha_j \mid j \in J)|}\cdot\frac{N_{I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}}(P)}{N_{I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}}(\prod_{i\notin J}\alpha_i)}$$

for all $P \in \mathbb{R}[V]$ homogeneous of degree $n-r$ and $(\alpha_j \mid j \in J)$ denotes the matrix whose columns are the coordinates of α_j, $j \in J$ with respect to any orthonormal bases of V^*.

Moreover, $\mathrm{JKRes}^\Lambda\left(\frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x)}\mathrm{x}\right)$ can be computed with the following algorithm:

(1) Compute the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$ using Theorem 13.
(2) Find a subset $J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ such that $\{\alpha_j \mid j \in J\}$ is a bases of V^* with $\varepsilon \in Cone(\alpha_j \mid j \in J)$.
(3) Compute a Gröbner bases G of $I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}$.
(4) Compute the remainders (normal forms) $N_{I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}}(P)$ and $N_{I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}}(\prod_{i\notin J}\alpha_i)$ of the division of P and $\prod_{i\notin J}\alpha_i$ by G, respectively.

$$(5)\quad \mathrm{JKRes}^{\Lambda}\left(\frac{P(x)e^{\varepsilon(x)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i(x)}\mathrm{x}\right)=\frac{1}{|\det(\alpha_j\,|\,j\in J)|}\cdot\frac{N_{I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}}(P)}{N_{I_{\mathfrak{A},\varepsilon}}(\prod_{i\notin J}\alpha_i)}.$$

Remark. The remainders can be computed by computer programs like Maple or Macaulay2 as follows. Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_r]$. In Maple, first compute the Gröbner bases G of I:

```
with(Groebner): G=Basis(I,tdeg(x_1,...,x_r))
```

Then compute the remainder of the division of f by G:

```
NormalForm(f,G,tdeg(x_1,...,x_r))
```

In Macaulay2 we can compute the remainder directly.

```
f % I
```

References

[BV] Michel Brion and Michèle Vergne, *Arrangements of hyperplane. I. Rational functions and the Jeffrey-Kirwan residue.* Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S. 4^e série, tome 32, n° 5 (1999), p. 715 - 741.

[CD] Eduardo Cattani and Alicia Dickenstein, *Introduction to residues and resultants* in *Solving polynomial Equations: Foundations, Algorithms and Applications.* Springer-Verlag, 2005.

[dCP] Corrado De Concini and Claudio Procesi, *Nested sets and Jeffrey Kirwan cycles.* Geometric methods in algebra and number theory, 139 - 149, Progr. Math., 235, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005.

[CLO] David Cox, John Little and Donal O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms.* Springer-Verlag, 1996.

[CLS] David Cox, John Little, Hal Schenck, *Toric Varieties.* AMS Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 124, 2011.

[GH] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry.* Wiley-Interscience, 1978.

[JKi1] Lisa C. Jeffrey and Frances C. Kirwan, *Localization for nonabelian group actions.* Topology 34 (1995), no. 2, 291 - 327.

[JKi2] Lisa C. Jeffrey and Frances C. Kirwan, *Localization and the quatization conjecture.* Topology, Volume 36, No. 3, pp. 647 - 693, 1997.

[JKo] Lisa C. Jeffrey and Mikhail Kogan, *Localization theorems by symplectic cuts* in *The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry.* Volume 232 of Progr. Math., p. 303 - 326. Birkhäuser, 2005.

[SzV] András Szenes and Michèle Vergne, *Toric reduction and a conjecture of Batyrev and Materov.* Invent. math. 158, p. 453 - 495 (2004)

Section de Mathématiques, Université de Genève, Genève, Suisse.
E-mail address: zsolt.szilagyi@unige.ch